

**MINUTES OF REGULAR SESSION  
HIGHLAND CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009**

Mayor Michaelis called the Regular Session to order at 7:00pm. Councilmembers present were Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist. Others in attendance were City Manager Mark Latham, City Attorney Harold Belsheim, Directors Gillespie, Rosen, Rusteberg and Schoeck, Police Chief Bell, Supervisors Stram and Limestall, EMS Captain Crosby, Deputy City Clerk Hediger, City Clerk Bellm, 17 citizens, and 1 member of the news media.

**MINUTES**

Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve the minutes of the August 3, 2009 Regular Session as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Councilwoman Zobrist stated the minutes show her voting on the approval of the July 20, 2009 Regular Session Minutes. Since she was absent from the last meeting that should be stricken. On the Roll Call Vote to approve as amended Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and voted aye, none nay; Councilwoman Zobrist abstained. Motion carried.

**PUBLIC FORUM**

Citizens' Requests and Comments:

*Pregnancy Care Center - Glik Park Fundraiser Walk Request* – Rev. Maurice Smith, Vice President of the Board of Directors for the Pregnancy Care Center, located at 1019 Broadway, requested permission for the Pregnancy Care Center to hold the “Walk for Life” event at Glik Park on Saturday, October 24, 2009, 7AM – 12PM. We would request permission to use the bathroom facilities, electrical services, and the availability of emergency services. A letter has been submitted with these requests. Councilwoman Bellm made a motion to approve the request of the Pregnancy Care Center to use Glik Park facilities on Saturday, October 24, 2009 to hold their Walk for Life fundraiser as requested; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

*HHS Booster Club – Homecoming Parade Request* – Jody Becker, HHS Homecoming Parade Chairman, requested permission for the Highland High School Booster Club to hold the homecoming parade on Wednesday, October 7, 2009. The parade will commence at 6:30pm from the Lindenthal campus and follow the same route as last year. Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve the request of the Highland High School Booster Club to hold the homecoming parade on Wednesday, October 7, 2009 as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Tim Johns, of Quality Assured Industrial Coatings, Alton, IL, stated: I came to appeal to the board to consider my company for the awarding of the contract for the clarifier rehabilitation project, or to not award the bid as proposed. What it boils down to is that the bid asked for painting of one clarifier and what it would be to paint the second clarifier. Another company bid \$24,000 to paint the

one clarifier; I bid \$24,500. With the second clarifier, I bid lower, based on economy of scale, because I would have the equipment on site already. With the two combined, I would be about \$1,000 lower on the project. I know it is an alternate; however, I think it is like having two people bid on two trucks – a light-duty and a heavy-duty truck. If you decide that you have the money to purchase a heavy-duty truck, you would go with the low bidder of the heavy-duty truck. I don't know if you are going to decide to do both clarifiers; however, if you are, I would think you would award to the lowest bidder on the coating of both clarifiers. Mayor Michaelis asked Director Gillespie to comment on this. Public Works Director Joe Gillespie reported we opened the bids last week. The base bid is to coat the one clarifier, which is what is in front of you. I think it is important to stay with awarding for the base bid. We placed an alternate in the bid, to see what the cost would come in at if we had enough funds to do both clarifiers. Mayor Michaelis asked Director Gillespie, you are suggesting that the bid should be for just the one. Director Gillespie responded the bid was for painting of one clarifier, as a base bid. The base bid, is what is on the agenda. That is what the project is for. The council could vote to accept and award the painting on the second clarifier, because we do have the funds available. However, I believe it is important to stay with the base bid and award to the base bid. Mr. Johns stated, if you are going to do both clarifiers, then I have the lowest bid. It seems in the best interest to go with the lowest overall cost, if you are going to do both. Deciding to do both clarifiers changes the scope of the bid. I am a local contractor. I cannot even bid on jobs on the Missouri side of the river. I pay Illinois payroll and compensation taxes. This would save the city money. Mayor Michaelis questioned Director Gillespie that the council would only be considering the one clarifier tonight. The second clarifier would be an issue. Director Gillespie responded yes. Councilman Rickher asked if the reason for doing just one, right now, is to see how the coating will hold up. Director Gillespie stated that is part of our reasoning, because there are some unknowns. Mayor Michaelis clarified that the council will be considering just the rehabilitation of the one clarifier tonight, as that is what Director Gillespie has brought before us. Director Gillespie agreed.

#### Requests of Council:

Councilwoman Bellm stated, I am requesting that the Council, business owners, and the taxpayers of Highland accept my apology for not doing a thorough job of research. After last meeting, it came to my attention that we already have Section 90-244 in the City Code which permits tables and chairs, with or without dining, on City sidewalks in the Central Business district. That code went into effect on January 16, 2006. The only restrictions are that tables and chairs may not interfere with pedestrian traffic nor block the business entryway, and that the tables and chairs must be stable enough not to blow away. We have spent a lot of time in council discussing "sidewalk cafés", "outdoor dining," and tables and chairs on city sidewalks in general. We have also caused the City Attorney to spend a lot of time doing research and developing ordinances allowing tables, chairs, and dining on city sidewalks. That time costs money. Some business owners were either told directly or lead to believe that they could not have tables and chairs on city sidewalks. I believed that tables, chairs, and dining on City sidewalks were not permitted and that these items could only be placed on private property. I was wrong. I should have read more closely, asked more questions of staff, and researched more thoroughly. Simply put, we did not need a new, 8-9 page ordinance to allow for tables and chairs, as well as dining on city sidewalks. Any business in the central business district is legal with tables, chairs, benches, with or without food service of any sort on city sidewalks. Restaurants, ice cream shops, gift stores, taverns, or coffee shops are able to have a place for patrons to sit and these businesses may offer any form of food service they wish. We spent a lot of time, and money, on an issue that had already been resolved.

We actually have two separate issues here. One is the simple matter of tables and chairs, as well as dining, on city sidewalks. That issue is clearly legal, in the Central Business District, by virtue of City Code 90-244. The other issue is drinking on city right-of-way. This has nothing to do with tables, chairs, and dining. Section 42-104.b of the City Code prohibits drinking on public property except for special events such as Schweizerfest. This separation of what is legal and what is not is the information that should have been presented, clearly, to business owners requesting the placement of tables and chairs on city right-of-way. For my failure to fully research the code regarding the simple

placement of tables, chairs, and dining, thereby causing business owners undue grief and possibly lost revenue, as well as causing extra expense to taxpayers for research and ordinance preparation by the city's attorney, I sincerely apologize.

#### Staff Reports:

*“Adopt-A-Street” Project Update* – Police Chief Terry Bell reported the “Adopt-A-Street” project has been in place for many years, but participation has waned over recent years. We already have over a dozen organizations on board to continue with the streets they previously adopted and additional streets added to the program. We will be placing blue signs as designation of the streets and recognition of groups’ participation in the program. As others see the signage and the organizations participating, cleaning up the roadways, hopefully, other organizations will join in.

### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

Bill #09-93/ORDINANCE Approving Tax Increment Financing Agreement with Terra Properties, Inc. for Development of Anderson Hospital Express Care Facility – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Bill #09-93/Ordinance #2373 approving tax increment financing agreement with Terra Properties, Inc. for development of Anderson Hospital Express Care Facility as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

### **NEW BUSINESS**

Bill #09-94/RESOLUTION Approving Fee Waivers for Anderson Hospital Express Care Facility – Councilwoman Bellm made a motion to approve Bill #09-94/Resolution #09-08-1804 approving fee waivers for Anderson Hospital Express Care Facility as attached; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Councilwoman Zobrist noted we just approve the tax incremental financing. She asked City Manager Latham why we want to approve fee waivers. City Manager Latham responded, one, they requested it; second, as I included in my memo, is that one of the things that is going to help the TIF district is to have this development occur. We are estimating approximately \$50,000 of tax revenue coming in from this to help with the drainage projects in this area that the TIF district was developed for. Councilwoman Bellm questioned City Manager Latham: We do this regularly for other developments and building construction? City Manager Latham responded, we did this recently for Legacy Place, the assisted living facility. Councilwoman Bellm: So this is the second one we did this year? City Manager Latham replied yes. Councilwoman Bellm asked how much are we talking about. City Manager Latham estimated \$10,000. Councilwoman Zobrist stated, according to this other memo, the TIF assistance is \$380,000. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, and Bellm voted aye; Councilwoman Zobrist voted nay. Motion carried.

Bill #09-95/ORDINANCE Changing the Number and Length of Terms of Members of the Combined Planning Zoning Board – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Bill #09-95/Ordinance #2374 changing the number and length of terms of members of the Combined Planning and Zoning Board as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Councilwoman Bellm clarified we are doing this mainly to comply with Illinois municipal codes. Mayor Michaelis stated we are changing the lengths of terms and size of the board for compliance with state statute, as it was explained to me by the city attorney. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Approve Mayor’s Appointments to the Newly Organized Combined Planning Zoning Board – Councilwoman Bellm made a motion to approve the mayor’s appointments to the newly organized Combined Planning Zoning Board as follows: Brad Korte, as Chairman, for term to expire August 31, 2016; Bill Koehnemann, for a term to expire August 31, 2015; James Gallatin, for a term to expire

August 31, 2014; Shaun Voegelé, for a term to expire August 31, 2013; Shirley Lodes, for a term to expire August 31, 2012; Robert Vance, for a term to expire August 31, 2011; and, Leo Painter, for a term to expire August 31, 2010, with this taking effect September 1, 2009 when the current term of members expires. Motion seconded by Councilman Rickher. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Approve Mayor's Appointments to the Newly Created Industrial Development Commission – Councilman Bardill made a motion to table pending such time as the staff needs to amend the ordinance to state that those serving must be within the planning area, as the current ordinance states they must reside within the city limits; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried. Item tabled.

Bill #09-96/RESOLUTION Requesting Road Closure for Homecoming Parade – Councilwoman Bellm made a motion to approve Bill #09-96/Resolution #09-08-1805 requesting road closure of IL Route 160 on October 7, 2009 for the Highland High School Homecoming Parade as attached; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Bill #09-97/RESOLUTION Authorizing Execution of Task Order with Spectrum Engineering – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Bill #09-97/Resolution #09-08-1806 authorizing the mayor's execution of a Task Order #2470009 – 2009 Monthly General Services Blanket with Spectrum Engineering as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Bill #09-98/RESOLUTION Setting Conditions Upon the City's Acceptance of Vulliet Road as a Public Road and Upon its Widening – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Bill #09-98/Resolution #09-08-1807 setting conditions upon the city's acceptance of Vulliet Road as a public road and upon its widening as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Zobrist. Councilwoman Bellm stated in the memo received in our packets, it suggested the council agreed to remove the condition that certain properties annex into the city. We did have discussion on this; however, I do not recall the council agreeing to remove those conditions. It was not reflected in the minutes. I still have some questions about this. I don't have my colored map any more; however, as I recall the road is not within the city's limits. Director Gillespie concurred the road is not within the city's limit; it is not a road. There are sections of the roadway that the city does own, which we purchased and improved previously. Councilwoman Bellm stated, because I don't remember any discussion of the council to remove those conditions, I am not sure why it is on the agenda. I have great difficulty spending taxpayers' dollars on something that is not within the city's limits and is not really a road. She asked Director Gillespie, was there any discussion and agreement written or verbal with the developer regarding that road. City Manager Latham stated the city has made some mistakes with this. You have two major developments out there: Dan Stack's development and Liberty Hills I & II. The city has to provide access to developments that are within the city's corporate limits. The residents in this area are paying taxes. We do not collect property tax for roadway. All roads are done by sales tax revenue. There are some general operation costs that are covered by property tax revenue. We need to either vote this up or down. Councilwoman Bellm asked don't we have a twenty-year plan for roadway improvements. Director Gillespie replied yes, Vulliet Road is in that report. Councilwoman Bellm asked where this falls within that schedule. Director Gillespie stated he did not recall, off hand. Councilwoman Bellm inquired did I see this was in the budget to improvements for next year. Director Gillespie replied yes, that was based on council's direction. Councilwoman Bellm summarized so we don't own the roadway. It is some where in the twenty-year plan. I don't care if it is sales tax that does our streets or what it is, I have a problem with spending money on it right now, when there are other streets within the city that need it.

Councilman Rickher noted that at the top of the resolution, it talks about the city acquiring the right of way for the roadway improvements. Later it states the requirement is that the property owners assign the right-of-way property over for free. He asked Councilwoman Bellm: Does that answer your

concern? Councilwoman Bellm stated I am adamantly opposed to buying the right of way for road improvement. It should have been dedicated when the development went in. I don't know why it was not. There is at least one property owner that has indicated he would be willing to give the property to the city. That is one thing that has to be a stipulation at least. Councilwoman Zobrist stated none of us were on the council when this development came in. We inherited this problem. A year or so ago, we heard from the residents about the safety concerns out there. I would like to address this issue, if we can get the right of way from them that would be great. However, I do not think we should force them to annex in. Either way, it is a safety issue that we need to get taken care of. Councilwoman Bellm stated if we accept the roadway in, we have to maintain and improve the roadway. The dollars are not there right now. If the dollars were there, do we spend it on this road or another that needs it more? I am not suggesting we don't fix the road. However, Oak Street is in terrible shape. Yet, a lot of people drive it everyday. The intersection of Oak Street and Papin Street is a nightmare. We have a lot of streets that need attention. I am not saying this one does not need attention; however, I question moving this street up to the top of the list. Councilman Bardill recalled I know there was a rating for the road. Mayor Michaelis asked Director Gillespie, if the road was annexed in and that was not an issue, you would not start work on it tomorrow, but rather you are going to follow the priority list. Director Gillespie explained the pavement management system, evaluates the surface only. It does not take into account the slope or width of the roadway. Councilwoman Bellm agreed the road does need attention, and there are people out there and there will be more. However, I am concerned about moving it up to the top of the list. Roll Call Vote: Bardill and Zobrist voted aye; Rickher and Bellm voted nay. Mayor Michaelis voted aye. Motion carried.

Bill #09-99/RESOLUTION Approving Petition for Special Use Permit—Jody Jakel—1301 Ninth St. – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Bill #09-99/Resolution #09-08-1808 approving a petition for a Special Use Permit, as submitted on behalf of Jody Jakel, for 1301 Ninth Street as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Councilwoman Zobrist asked is there going to be parking allowed on 9<sup>th</sup> Street. Building and Zoning Staff Sharon Walter replied not for this complex. There will be a separate parking area, with the entrance off Ninth Street. They are going to move the entrance to the parking area down to the east. Street parking on Ninth Street was not addressed with the Special Use Permit. Councilwoman Zobrist expressed I don't feel comfortable with cars trying to pull out of there with cars parked along there. In particular, if that remains angled parking along that section of Ninth Street. Councilwoman Zobrist showed City Attorney Belsheim the diagram and the concern about parking along Ninth Street. Councilwoman Zobrist made a motion to table this until parking along Ninth Street is addressed; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried; item tabled.

Bill #09-100/ORDINANCE Granting Special Use Permit—Jody Jakel—1301 Ninth Street – Councilwoman Zobrist motioned to table Bill #09-100/Ordinance Granting Special Use Permit – Jody Jakel, for 1301 Ninth Street; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried; item tabled.

Bill #09-101/RESOLUTION Approving Ambulance Services Agreement – St. Jacob – Councilwoman Bellm made a motion to approve Bill #09-101/Resolution #09-08-1808 approving an ambulance service agreement with St. Jacob Fire Protection District for the period of October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010 as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Zobrist. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Bill #09-102/ORDINANCE Establishing Disconnect Notice Delivery Fee – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Bill #09-102/Ordinance #2375 establishing a disconnect notice delivery fee as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Bill #09-103/RESOLUTION Authorizing Cooperation Agreement with Madison County Community Development Relating to the Neighbors Helping Neighbors Program – Councilwoman Bellm motioned to approve Bill #09-103/Resolution #09-08-1809 authorizing the mayor to sign a Cooperation Agreement with Madison County Community Development relating to the Neighbors Helping Neighbors Program as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Zobrist. Councilwoman Zobrist stated I worked with Mark, Sharon and Kevin on this; I think it is a really good program. Councilwoman Bellm agreed. If we can get some assistance in doing this, we can use it. Mayor Michaelis concurred. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Award Bid #PW-07-09 WRF Clarifier Rehabilitation project – Councilman Bardill made a motion to award Bid #PW-07-09 WRF Clarifier Rehabilitation project to \$24,000 to Aetna Coatings, Inc., of St. Louis, MO, as attached; seconded by Councilman Rickher. Councilwoman Bellm stated we are awarding just for the base bid of \$24,000 for the one clarifier and not for both clarifiers at \$48,000. Director Gillespie responded yes, for base bid only. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Discussion – Proposed Ordinance Setting Limit on Number of Domestic Animals Allowed Per Residence – Councilwoman Zobrist noted, in reading through the first ordinance drafted for Highland, the definition for domestic animals would cover ‘any species that is bred and raised in or about the habitation of humans and is dependent upon people for food and shelter.’ I guess we are talking about dogs and cats. If you look at the Glen Carbon ordinance, it talks about rabbits, and limits the number to three per household. Do we count hamsters, guinea pigs and gerbils? Attorney Harold Belsheim responded, I think the practical thing is to go into every household and count. The intent is to provide some authority for the means to go into situations where there is believed to be the hoarding of animals. It really depends on how narrowly or broadly the board wants to define the ordinance. It might mean, with this ordinance, that if you have more than three goldfish, you are in violation. It is a matter of how you want to address the issue. If you say ‘three animals’ and you define that narrowly, you give the ability for enforcement to move in clearly with authority. You can broaden that definition and be more specific about the types of animals you want to address under this ordinance. If they want to raise some other types of animals then dogs or cats, do you want to regulate that? Councilwoman Zobrist expressed the definition of any domestic animal bred and dependent upon humans for food and shelter is pretty broad. Attorney Belsheim agreed. It is broad, but it is limiting. Councilwoman Bellm stated I thought we discussed limiting it to the number of animals that can be let outside at one given time, and that would preclude the hamster, goldfish, and gerbils. Attorney Belsheim explained, I don’t know how you would classify a cat. I have a cat; if it went outside, it would not know how to survive. I am not sure that “indoor” or “outdoor” will give the police the handle needed to deal with the issues.

Chief Bell explained this all came about because of nuisance complaints dealing with noise, smell, and sanitation. We can still address the nuisances that are derived from this. Does the council want to address the core issue? When the animals are inside, that has not been brought to us as an issue. There will always be the story of the person with thirty-some cats inside one house and the conditions are deplorable. Councilwoman Zobrist noted Belleville’s ordinance specifies that service animals are not included in the count. I don’t know how specific I want to get. I don’t want to take away some kid’s hamster, because they have two hamsters, a dog and a cat. Attorney Belsheim recommended the council tell us what type of definition they want and how restrictive they want it to be, and we will draft it. The definition we have in the draft is very restrictive. The broader the definition the more restrictive it will be. Councilman Rickher asked is this meant to be a trigger mechanism so we can investigate a deeper issue, such as a hoarding situation. Chief Bell stated if someone has eight or fifteen dogs on their property, we can address that issue. It is what is outside that creates the public nuisance, which is what we want to address. Attorney Belsheim suggested you could state three or more pets that are primarily kept outdoors. Councilwoman Bellm expressed I don’t like to tell anyone how many animals they can have; however, since we have problems, then we have to have something on the books so that the police can address the issues we are having. The “three animals outside” allows the police to go after

addressing the issue. Chief Bell pointed out you can say three or four animals outside at one time; however, one yipping dog can throw this whole thing off. Attorney Belsheim suggested saying any animal permitted to go outside from time to time. This would solve the counting of goldfish and gerbils. Chief Bell stated, personally, I do not like the “from time to time”. That is too broad and can cause the investigation of an issue to linger. Attorney Belsheim clarified, it is ‘any more than three animals outdoor at one given time’. It was the consensus of the council.

Mayor Michaelis asked what happens if the council passes the ordinance, and we have people in the city limits that have ten dogs. Attorney Belsheim noted there are state statues that address those issues. I am not sure exactly what they are and how they apply. Councilwoman Bellm asked if they already have five dogs, are they “grandfathered” in. Attorney Belsheim stated with the ordinance as proposed, if the animals are indoors, then they can no longer have more than three outdoors at one time, otherwise they would be in violation of the ordinance. If you were to prohibit a large number of animals, at one property then I don’t know the effect. State statue could be added to the city’s books for enforcement under state guidelines. Councilwoman Bellm clarified the only reason we are doing this, is because we have some situations out there that need to be addressed. It was the consensus of the council to proceed with drafting the ordinance with the definition as discussed by council.

## **REPORTS**

Approve Warrants #778 & #779 – Councilman Bardill made a motion to approve Warrants #778 and #779 as attached; seconded by Councilwoman Bellm. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Councilwoman Bellm made a motion to temporarily adjourn this Regular Session to enter into Executive Session under the Illinois Open Meetings Act under Section 2(c)(21) for discussion of executive session minutes and 2(c)(5) to discuss property acquisition; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried. 8:10pm.

Mayor Michaelis reconvened the Regular Session at 8:30pm. Council members Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist were present. Others in attendance were City Manager Latham, City Attorney Belsheim, Deputy City Clerk Hediger and City Clerk Bellm.

Bill #09-104/RESOLUTION Authorizing Acquisition of Property – 1114 Main St. - Councilwoman Bellm motioned to approve Bill #09-104/Resolution #09-08-1810 authorizing the purchase of property at 1114 Main Street as attached; seconded by Councilman Bardill. Councilwoman Zobrist stated she would like the motion to reflect that some of the parking area be designated for more handicap parking spaces for the Weinheimer Center. Mayor Michaelis added, as we are discussing this, would the council like to direct City Manager Latham to begin seeking bids for removal of the building. It was the consensus of the council for City Manager Latham to move forward with demolition of the structure on the property upon completion of the purchase. Roll Call Vote: Rickher, Bardill, Bellm and Zobrist voted aye, none nay. Motion carried.

Councilwoman Bellm made a motioned to adjourn; seconded by Councilwoman Zobrist. All council members voted aye, none nay. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 8:32pm.

Joseph Michaelis, Mayor

Barbara Bellm, City Clerk